

22. MOLECULAR GEOMETRY AND FEATURES

can either be stored in external databases, or hardwired into the program in the form of rules. However, CSD usage has tended to concentrate on analyses of individual substructures, as noted above, both for their intrinsic interest and to develop novel methods of data analysis. Recently, Klebe & Mietzner (1994) have described the generation of a small library containing 216 torsional distributions derived from the CSD, together with 80 determined from protein–ligand complexes in the PDB. The library was used in a knowledge-based approach for predicting multiple conformer models for putative ligands in the computational modelling of protein–ligand docking. Conformer prediction is accomplished by the computer program *MIMUMBA*. As part of its programme for the development of knowledge-based libraries from the CSD, the CCDC has now embarked on the generation of a more comprehensive torsional library. Here, information is being hierarchically ordered according to the level of specificity of the chemical substructures for which torsional distributions are available in the library.

22.4.4.5. Metal coordination geometry

Some 54% of the information content of the CSD relates to organometallics and metal complexes. This reflects the crucial role of single-crystal diffraction analyses in the renaissance of inorganic chemistry since the 1950s, and the fundamental importance of the technique in characterizing the many novel molecules synthesized over the past 40 years. Since ligands containing nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur are ubiquitous, the CSD contains much information that is relevant to the binding of metal ions by proteins [*e.g.* zinc (Miller *et al.*, 1985), calcium (Strynadka & James, 1989) *etc.*]. Some statistics for the occurrence of some common metals having N and/or O ligands are presented in Table 22.4.3.2.

One of the earliest studies (Einspahr & Bugg, 1981) concerned the geometry of Ca–carboxylate binding, with special reference to biological systems. Since that time, a variety of other studies of biologically relevant metal coordination modes have appeared from the laboratories of Glusker, Dunitz and others (see *e.g.* Glusker, 1980; Chakrabarti & Dunitz, 1982; Carrell *et al.*, 1988, 1993; Chakrabarti, 1990*a,b*). These studies show, *inter alia*, that α -hydroxycarboxylates and imidazoles such as histidine tend to bind metal ions in their planes, but that alkali metal cations tend to bind carboxylate groups indiscriminately both in-plane and out-of-plane. Chapter 17 of Glusker *et al.* (1994) is a significant source of additional information and leading references to work in this area over the past two decades.

22.4.5. Intermolecular data

Non-bonded interaction geometries observed in small-molecule crystal structures are of great value in the determination and validation of protein structures, in furthering our understanding of protein folding, and in investigating the recognition processes involved in protein–ligand interactions. The CSD continues to provide vital information on all of these topics.

22.4.5.1. van der Waals radii

The hard-sphere atomic model is central to chemistry and molecular biology and, to an approximation, atomic van der Waals radii can be regarded as transferable from one structure to another. They are heavily used in assessing the general correctness of all crystal-structure models from metals and alloys to proteins. Pauling (1939) was the first to provide a usable tabulation for a wide range of elements, but the values of Bondi (1964) remain the most highly cited compilation in the modern literature. His values,

assembled from a variety of sources including crystal-structure information, were selected for the calculation of molecular volumes and, in his original paper, Bondi (1964) issues a caution about their general validity for the calculation of limiting contact distances in crystals. In view of the huge amount of non-bonded contact information available in the CSD, Rowland & Taylor (1996) recently tested Bondi's statement as it might apply to the common nonmetallic elements, *i.e.* H, C, N, O, F, P, S, Cl, Br and I. They found remarkable agreement (within 0.02 Å) between the crystal-structure data and the Bondi values for S and the halogens, and agreement within 0.05 Å for C, N and O (new values all larger). The only significant discrepancy was for H, where averaged neutron-normalized small-molecule data yield a van der Waals radius of 1.1 Å, 0.1 Å shorter than the Bondi (1964) value. In the specific area of amino-acid structure, Gould *et al.* (1985) have studied the crystal environments and geometries of leucines, isoleucines, valines and phenylalanines. Their work provides estimates of minimum non-bonded contact distances and indicates the preferred van der Waals interactions of these primary building blocks.

22.4.5.2. Hydrogen-bond geometry and directionality

The hydrogen bond is the strongest and most frequently studied of the non-covalent interactions that are observed in crystal structures. As with intramolecular geometries, the first surveys of non-bonded interaction geometries all concerned hydrogen bonds, and were reported long before the CSD existed (Pauling, 1939; Donohue, 1952; Robertson, 1953; Pimentel & McClellan, 1960). The review by Donohue (1952) already contained a plot of N...O distances *versus* C—N...O angles in crystal structures (the C—N groups are terminal charged amino groups), while the review by Pimentel & McClellan (1960) contained histograms of hydrogen-bond distances. Up to the mid-1970s, numerous other studies appeared, *e.g.* Balasubramanian *et al.* (1970), Kroon & Kanters (1974) and Kroon *et al.* (1975), in which all of the statistical analyses were performed manually.

With the advent of the CSD and its developing software system, these kinds of studies became much more accessible and easier to perform, although the non-bonded search facility was only generalized and fully integrated within *Quest3D* in 1992. Thus, Taylor and colleagues reported studies on N—H...O=C hydrogen bonds (Taylor & Kennard, 1983; Taylor *et al.*, 1983, 1984*a,b*), Jeffrey and colleagues reported detailed studies on the O—H...O hydrogen bond (Ceccarelli *et al.*, 1981), hydrogen bonds in amino acids (Jeffrey & Maluszynska, 1982; Jeffrey & Mitra, 1984), and hydrogen bonding in nucleosides and nucleotides, barbiturates, purines and pyrimidines (Jeffrey & Maluszynska, 1986), while Murray-Rust & Glusker (1984) studied the directionalities of O—H...O hydrogen bonds to ethers and carbonyls. These studies indicated that hydrogen bonds are often very directional. For example, the distribution of the O—H...O hydrogen-bond angle, after correction for a geometrical factor, peaks at 180° (*i.e.* there is a clear preference for linear hydrogen bonds) and, in carbonyls and carboxylate groups, hydrogen bonds tend to form along the lone-pair directions of the O-atom acceptors (Fig. 22.4.5.1). For ethers, however, lone-pair directionality is not observed, as is illustrated in Fig. 22.4.5.2.

Software availability has facilitated CSD studies of a wide range of individual hydrogen-bonded systems in the recent literature, including studies of resonance-assisted hydrogen bonds (Bertolasi *et al.*, 1996) and resonance-induced hydrogen bonding to sulfur (Allen, Bird *et al.*, 1997*a*). These statistical studies are often combined with molecular-orbital calculations of interaction energies. Some of these studies are cited in this chapter, but the monograph of Jeffrey & Saenger (1991) and the CCDC's DBUSE database are valuable reference sources.