

## 5.5. The use of mmCIF architecture for PDB data management

BY J. D. WESTBROOK, H. YANG, Z. FENG AND H. M. BERMAN

### 5.5.1. Introduction

The Protein Data Bank (PDB) is an archive for macromolecular structures (Bernstein *et al.*, 1977; Berman *et al.*, 2000) and a major component of a global resource for macromolecular structural science (Berman *et al.*, 2003). The scale of its data handling operations is large, and depends on the effective exploitation of the latest developments in the science and technology of informatics. A significant component of its data storage and retrieval strategy is the management of structural data in mmCIF format with appropriate extensions.

Over its 30-year history, the PDB archive has grown from seven entries in 1973 to a collection of over 30 000 structures as of May 2005. The growth in the size of the archive has been accompanied by increases in both data content and in the structural complexity of individual entries. As the PDB has grown, there has been a significant broadening of its user community. In response to this change, the role of the PDB has expanded from being simply a provider of structure data files to providing a key information resource for the structural biology community.

Looking forward, an acceleration in the growth of the PDB archive is anticipated owing to developments in high-throughput structural determination methodologies and worldwide structural genomics efforts. To support the continued growth and evolution of the PDB archive, a framework is required that supports automation and scalability, and that can adapt to changes in both data content and delivery technology.

At the core of the PDB informatics infrastructure is an ontology of data definitions which electronically encode domain information in the form of precise definitions, examples and controlled vocabularies. In addition to domain information, data definitions also encode information such as data type, data relationships, range restrictions and presentation units.

The software-accessible PDB exchange data dictionary (Appendix 3.6.2) is the key part of the PDB informatics infrastructure. The exchange dictionary is an extension of the macromolecular Crystallographic Information File (mmCIF) data dictionary (Bourne *et al.*, 1997). The dictionary provides the foundation for software tools which exchange and validate data, create and load databases, translate data formats, and serve application program interfaces. The components of the informatics infrastructure developed by the PDB are being used to build a data pipeline to support high-throughput structure determination.

### 5.5.2. Representing macromolecular structure data

Macromolecular structure data have historically been represented in a simple record-oriented format developed by the PDB; this format has been widely used in structural and computational biology.

CRYST1	129.230	60.440	56.630	90.00	119.05	90.00	C	1	2	1	4
ATOM	1	N	ASP	A	1	23.482	-0.621	-1.419	1.00	35.27	N
ATOM	2	CA	ASP	A	1	24.897	-0.728	-1.885	1.00	32.46	C
ATOM	3	C	ASP	A	1	25.573	0.515	-1.339	1.00	28.22	C
ATOM	4	O	ASP	A	1	24.918	1.359	-0.744	1.00	29.11	O
ATOM	5	CB	ASP	A	1	24.976	-0.729	-3.427	1.00	38.24	C

Fig. 5.5.2.1. Excerpt of records from a PDB data file.

While this PDB format has in general been adequate for representing coordinate data, it has proved less satisfactory for the description of related information such as chemical and biological features and experimental methodology. To provide a more rigorous data encoding that includes all of this related information, the Protein Data Bank has in recent years adopted a comprehensive ontology of structure and experiment based on the content of the mmCIF data dictionary.

#### 5.5.2.1. PDB format

For the past 30 years, the PDB has served as the single central repository for macromolecular structure data. The data format used to store archival entries in the PDB is a column-oriented data format resembling many data formats developed to accommodate the limitations of paper punched-card technology (see Chapter 1.1). An example of the data format is shown in Fig. 5.5.2.1.

Many of the data records in this format are prefixed with a record tag (*e.g.* CRYST1, ATOM) followed by individual items of data. The specifications for the records in this data format are described informally by Callaway *et al.* (1996). In addition to the labelled records as in Fig. 5.5.2.1, many data records in the PDB format are presented as unstructured or only semi-structured remark records.

#### 5.5.2.2. Ontology representation of macromolecular structure data

In 1998, the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) assumed the management responsibilities for the PDB. One important outcome was the change in the underlying data representation used to process PDB data. The PDB now collects and processes data using a data representation based on a comprehensive ontology of macromolecular structure and experiment: the PDB exchange data dictionary. This representation is an extension of the mmCIF data dictionary, now the standard data representation for experimentally determined three-dimensional macromolecular structures. The dictionary and data files based on this data ontology (Westbrook & Bourne, 2000) are expressed using Self-defining Text Archival and Retrieval (STAR) syntax (Chapter 2.1).

Although the mmCIF dictionary was developed within the crystallographic community, the metadata model employed by mmCIF is quite general and has been adopted by other application domains including NMR, molecular modelling and molecular recognition (dictionaries are available at <http://mmcif.pdb.org/>). Within the crystallographic community, metadata dictionaries have also been

Affiliations: JOHN D. WESTBROOK, HUANWANG YANG, ZUKANG FENG AND HELEN M. BERMAN, Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, 610 Taylor Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8087, USA.

developed for other types of diffraction experiments, electron-microscopy data and for the general description of image data. The metadata concepts and tools that have been developed to support mmCIF are sufficiently general that they may be applied to the description of data in virtually any application.

The demands of structural genomics projects have driven the development of extensions to capture an increased level of experimental detail. These are available at <http://mmcif.pdb.org/>. Extensions have also been introduced to describe NMR, cryo-electron microscopy and all aspects of protein production. The ability to rapidly add extensions and incorporate these into the PDB data-processing system is an important feature for supporting the rapidly evolving technologies associated with high-throughput structure determinations.

The mmCIF metadata architecture is built from three levels as illustrated in Fig. 5.5.2.2 (see also Chapter 2.6). Individual data files are described at the top level (e.g. Fig. 5.5.2.2a). The contents of these data files are defined by a data dictionary (e.g. Fig. 5.5.2.2b) in the next lower level (see Chapters 3.6 and 4.5). The attributes used in this data dictionary to build data definitions are in turn defined in the dictionary description language (DDL) (e.g. Fig. 5.5.2.2c) in the lowest level (see Chapters 2.6 and 4.10).

The major syntactical constructs used by mmCIF are illustrated in the data file example of Fig. 5.5.2.2(a). Each data item or group of data items is preceded by an identifying keyword. Groups of related data items are organized into data categories. Two categories, CELL and ENTITY\_POLY\_SEQ, are shown in the example. CELL contains an individual instance describing a single set of crystallographic cell constants. ENTITY\_POLY\_SEQ contains a `loop_` (i.e. table) of instances describing a polymer residue sequence. Essentially all mmCIF data are described as a set of tabular data structures.

Each mmCIF data item is defined in a data dictionary. Data definitions are given between save-frame delimiters (i.e. `save_`); apart from this, the data definitions share the same simple syntax as used in data files. An example definition for a crystallographic cell constant is shown in Fig. 5.5.2.2(b). Many features of the cell constant are described in this definition, including data type, range restrictions, units of expression, dependent quantities, related definitions, necessity and related precision estimate. Although not shown in this example, dictionary definitions can also include parent-child relationships that have important consequences in maintaining data consistency.

The attributes of each data definition are defined in the DDL dictionary. Fig. 5.5.2.2(c) shows example DDL definitions describing data types. DDL definitions have the same syntax as definitions used in the data dictionary. Because the attributes of the DDL are also used in DDL definitions, this metadata architecture is described as self-defining.

The RCSB PDB distributes parsing tools that support all three levels of this metadata architecture (<http://sw-tools.pdb.org/>). The *CIFPARSE\_OBJ* package (Tosic & Westbrook, 2000) provides high-level methods to read, write, validate and manage data from data files, dictionaries and DDLs. Data files can be validated relative to an input data dictionary, and dictionary files can be validated relative to an input DDL. *CIFPARSE\_OBJ* stores information in a collection of table objects. Access methods are provided to search and manipulate the table objects. A companion package, *CIFOBJ* (Schirripa & Westbrook, 1996), provides an alternative representation of dictionary and DDL data. *CIFOBJ* organizes dictionary information into a collection of category and item-level objects. Access methods are provided for all dictionary attributes.

```

_cell.entry_id      W1QQQ
_cell.length_a     129.230
_cell.length_b     60.440
_cell.length_c     56.630
_cell.angle_alpha  90.00
_cell.angle_beta   119.05
_cell.angle_gamma  90.00
_cell.Z_PDB        4
loop_
_entity_poly_seq.entity_id
_entity_poly_seq.num
_entity_poly_seq.mon_id
  1  1  ASP  1  2  ILE
  1  3  VAL  1  4  LEU
  1  5  THR  1  6  GLN
  1  7  SER  1  8  PRO
  1  9  ALA  1 10  SER
(a)

save_cell.length_a
_item_description.description
; Unit-cell length a corresponding to the structure
reported.
;
_item.name           '_cell.length_a'
_item.category_id    cell
_item.mandatory_code no
_item_aliases.alias_name '_cell.length_a'
_item_aliases.dictionary cif_core.dic
_item_aliases.version 2.0.1
loop_
_item_dependent.dependent_name
'_cell.length_b'
'_cell.length_c'

loop_
_item_range.maximum
_item_range.minimum
. 0.0
0.0 0.0

_item_related.related_name '_cell.length_a_esd'
_item_related.function_code associated_esd
_item_sub_category.id      cell_length
_item_type.code             float
_item_type_conditions.code esd
_item_units.code            angstroms
save_
(b)

save_ITEM_TYPE_LIST
_category.description
; Attributes which define each type code.
;
_category.id           item_type_list
_category.mandatory_code no
_category_key.name     '_item_type_list.code'
loop_
_category_group.id    'ddl_group'
'item_group'

save_

save_item_type_list.code
_item_description.description
; The codes specifying the nature of the data value.
;
loop_
_item.name
_item.category_id
_item.mandatory_code
'_item_type_list.code' item_type_list yes
'_item_type.code'      item_type      yes

_item_type.code        code
_item_linked.child_name '_item_type.code'
_item_linked.parent_name '_item_type_list.code'

save_
(c)

```

Fig. 5.5.2.2. Files at different levels of the mmCIF metadata architecture. (a) mmCIF data file excerpt. (b) Example mmCIF data dictionary definition. (c) Example DDL dictionary attribute definition.