2. RECIPROCAL SPACE IN CRYSTAL-STRUCTURE DETERMINATION

so that

$$p(|E|) d|E| = 2|E| \exp(-|E|^2) d|E|$$
 (2.1.5.8)

is the normalized-structure-factor version of (2.1.5.6).

Distributions resulting from noncentrosymmetric crystals are known as *acentric* distributions; those arising from centrosymmetric crystals are known as *centric*. These adjectives are used to describe *distributions*, not crystal symmetry.

2.1.5.2. Ideal centric distributions

When a non-dispersive crystal is centrosymmetric, and the spacegroup origin is chosen at a crystallographic centre of symmetry, the imaginary part B of its structure amplitude is zero. In the simplest case, space group $P\bar{1}$, the contribution of the jth atom plus its centrosymmetric counterpart is $2f_j\cos\vartheta_j$. The calculation of p(A)goes through as before, with allowance for the fact that there are N/2 pairs instead of N independent atoms, giving

$$p(A) dA = (2\pi\Sigma)^{-1/2} \exp[-A^2/(2\Sigma)] dA$$
 (2.1.5.9)

or equivalently

$$p(|F|) d|F| = [2/(\pi\Sigma)]^{1/2} \exp[-|F|^2/(2\Sigma)] d|F|$$
 (2.1.5.10)

or

$$p(|E|) d|E| = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \exp(-|E|^2/2) d|E|.$$
 (2.1.5.11)

2.1.5.3. Effect of other symmetry elements on the ideal acentric and centric distributions

Additional crystallographic symmetry elements do not produce any essential alterations in the ideal centric or acentric distribution; their main effect is to replace the parameter Σ by a 'distribution parameter', called S by Wilson (1950) and Rogers (1950), in certain groups of reflections. In addition, in noncentrosymmetric space groups, the distribution of certain groups of reflections becomes centric, though the general reflections remain acentric. The changes are summarized in Tables 2.1.3.1 and 2.1.3.2. The values of S are integers for lattice centring, glide planes and those screw axes that produce absences, and approximate integers for rotation axes and mirror planes; the modulations of the average intensity in reciprocal space outlined in Section 2.1.3.2 apply.

It should be noted that if intensities are normalized to the average of the group to which they belong, rather than to the general average, the distributions given in equations (2.1.5.8) and (2.1.5.11) are not affected.

2.1.5.4. Other ideal distributions

The distributions just derived are asymptotic, as they are limiting values for large *N*. They are the only ideal distributions, in this sense, when there is only strict crystallographic symmetry and no dispersion. However, other ideal (asymptotic) distributions arise when there is noncrystallographic symmetry, or if there is dispersion. The *subcentric* distribution,

$$p(|E|) d|E| = \frac{2|E|}{(1-k^2)^{1/2}} \exp[-|E|^2/(1-k^2)]$$

$$\times I_0\left(\frac{k|E|^2}{1-k^2}\right) d|E|, \qquad (2.1.5.12)$$

where $I_0(x)$ is a modified Bessel function of the first kind and k is the ratio of the scattering from the centrosymmetric part to the total scattering, arises when a noncentrosymmetric crystal contains centrosymmetric parts or when dispersion introduces effective

noncentrosymmetry into the scattering from a centrosymmetric crystal (Srinivasan & Parthasarathy, 1976, ch. III; Wilson, 1980*a*,*b*; Shmueli & Wilson, 1983). The *bicentric* distribution

$$p(|E|) d|E| = \pi^{-3/2} \exp(-|E|^2/8) K_0(|E|^2/8) d|E|$$
 (2.1.5.13)

arises, for example, when the 'asymmetric unit in a centrosymmetric crystal is a centrosymmetric molecule' (Lipson & Woolfson, 1952); $K_0(x)$ is a modified Bessel function of the second kind. There are higher hypercentric, hyperparallel and sesquicentric analogues (Wilson, 1952; Rogers & Wilson, 1953; Wilson, 1956). The ideal subcentric and bicentric distributions are expressed in terms of known functions, but the higher hypercentric and the sesquicentric distributions have so far been studied only through their moments and integral representations. Certain hypersymmetric distributions can be expressed in terms of Meijer's G functions (Wilson, 1987b).

2.1.5.5. Relation to distributions of I

When only the intrinsic probability distributions are being considered, it does not greatly matter whether the variable chosen is the intensity of reflection (I), or its positive square root, the modulus of the structure factor (|F|), since both are necessarily real and non-negative. In an obvious notation, the relation between the intensity distribution and the structure-factor distribution is

$$p_I(I) = (1/2)I^{-1/2}p_{|F|}(I^{1/2})$$
 (2.1.5.14)

or

$$p_{|F|}(|F|) = 2|F|p_I(|F|^2).$$
 (2.1.5.15)

Statistical fluctuations in counting rates, however, introduce a small but finite probability of negative observed intensities (Wilson, 1978*a*, 1980*a*) and thus of imaginary structure factors. This practical complication is treated in *IT* C (1999, Parts 7 and 8).

Both the ideal centric and acentric distributions are simple members of the family of gamma distributions, defined by

$$\gamma_n(x) dx = [\Gamma(n)]^{-1} x^{n-1} \exp(-x) dx,$$
 (2.1.5.16)

where n is a parameter, not necessarily integral, and $\Gamma(n)$ is the gamma function. Thus the ideal acentric intensity distribution is

$$p(I) dI = \exp(-I/\Sigma) d(I/\Sigma)$$
 (2.1.5.17)

$$= \gamma_1(I/\Sigma) d(I/\Sigma) \qquad (2.1.5.18)$$

and the ideal centric intensity distribution is

$$p(I) dI = (2\Sigma/\pi)^{1/2} \exp[-I/(2\Sigma)] d[I/(2\Sigma)]$$
 (2.1.5.19)

$$= \gamma_{1/2}[I/(2\Sigma)] d[I/(2\Sigma)]. \tag{2.1.5.20}$$

The properties of gamma distributions and of the related beta distributions, summarized in Table 2.1.5.1, are used in Section 2.1.6 to derive the probability density functions of sums and of ratios of intensities drawn from one of the ideal distributions.

2.1.5.6. Cumulative distribution functions

The integral of the probability density function f(x) from the lower end of its range up to an arbitrary value x is called the cumulative probability distribution, or simply the distribution function, F(x), of x. It can always be written

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(u) \, du; \qquad (2.1.5.21)$$

if the lower end of its range is not actually $-\infty$ one takes f(x) as identically zero between $-\infty$ and the lower end of its range. For the distribution of A [equation (2.1.5.4) or (2.1.5.9)] the lower limit is in

2.1. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF THE WEIGHTED RECIPROCAL LATTICE

Table 2.1.5.1. Some properties of gamma and beta distributions

If $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ are independent gamma-distributed variables with parameters $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$, their sum is a gamma-distributed variable with $p = p_1 + p_2 + ... + p_n$.

If x and y are independent gamma-distributed variables with parameters p and q, then the ratio u = x/y has the distribution $\beta_2(u; p, q)$.

With the same notation, the ratio v = x/(x+y) has the distribution $\beta_1(v; p, q)$.

Differences and products of gamma-distributed variables do not lead to simple results. For proofs, details and references see Kendall & Stuart (1977).

Name of the distribution, its functional form, mean and variance

Gamma distribution with parameter p:

$$\gamma_p(x) = [\Gamma(x)]^{-1} x^{p-1} \exp(-x); \quad p \le x \le \infty, \quad p > 0$$

mean:
$$\langle x \rangle = p$$
; variance: $\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle = p$.

Beta distribution of first kind with parameters p and q:

$$\beta_1(x; p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1}; \quad 0 \le x \le \infty, \quad p, q > 0$$

mean:
$$\langle x \rangle = p/(p+q)$$
;

variance:
$$\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle = pq/[(p+q)^2(p+q+1)].$$

Beta distribution of second kind with parameters p and q:

$$\beta_2(x; p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} x^{p-1} (1+x)^{-p-q}; \quad 0 \le x \le \infty, \quad p, q > 0$$

mean:
$$\langle x \rangle = p/(q-1)$$
;

variance:
$$\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle = p(p+q-1)/[(q-1)(q-2)].$$

fact $-\infty$; for the distribution of |F|, |E|, I and I/Σ the lower end of the range is zero. In such cases, equation (2.1.5.21) becomes

$$F(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(x) \, dx. \qquad (2.1.5.22)$$

In crystallographic applications the cumulative distribution is usually denoted by N(x), rather than by the capital letter corresponding to the probability density function designation. The cumulative forms of the ideal acentric and centric distributions (Howells *et al.*, 1950) have found many applications. For the acentric distribution of |E| [equation (2.1.5.8)] the integration is readily carried out:

$$N(|E|) = 2 \int_{0}^{|E|} y \exp(-y^2) \, dy = 1 - \exp(-|E|^2). \tag{2.1.5.23}$$

The integral for the centric distribution of |E| [equation (2.1.5.11)] cannot be expressed in terms of elementary functions, but the integral required has so many important applications in statistics that it has been given a special name and symbol, the error function erf(x), defined by

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = (2/\pi^{1/2}) \int_{0}^{x} \exp(-t^{2}) dt.$$
 (2.1.5.24)

For the centric distribution, then

$$N(|E|) = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{|E|} y \exp(-y^2/2) dy$$
 (2.1.5.25)

$$= \operatorname{erf}(|E|/2^{1/2}). \tag{2.1.5.26}$$

The error function is extensively tabulated [see e.g. Abramowitz & Stegun (1972), pp. 310–311, and a closely related function on pp. 966–973].

2.1.6. Distributions of sums, averages and ratios

2.1.6.1. Distributions of sums and averages

In Section 2.1.2.1, it was shown that the average intensity of a sufficient number of reflections is Σ [equation (2.1.2.4)]. When the number of reflections is not 'sufficient', their mean value will show statistical fluctuations about Σ ; such statistical fluctuations are in addition to any systematic variation resulting from non-independence of atomic positions, as discussed in Sections 2.1.2.1–2.1.2.3. We thus need to consider the probability density functions of sums like

$$J_n = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i, \tag{2.1.6.1}$$

and averages like

$$Y = J_n/n, (2.1.6.2)$$

where G_i is the intensity of the *i*th reflection. The probability density distributions are easily obtained from a property of gamma distributions: If x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n are independent gamma-distributed variables with parameters p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n , their sum is a gamma-distributed variable with parameter p equal to the sum of the parameters. The sum of n intensities drawn from an acentric distribution thus has the distribution

$$p(J_n) dJ_n = \gamma_n(J_n/\Sigma) d(J_n/\Sigma); \qquad (2.1.6.3)$$

the parameters of the variables added are all equal to unity, so that their sum is p. Similarly, the sum of n intensities drawn from a centric distribution has the distribution

$$p(J_n) dJ_n = \gamma_{n/2} [J_n/(2\Sigma)] d[J_n/(2\Sigma)];$$
 (2.1.6.4)

each parameter has the value of one-half. The corresponding distributions of the averages of n intensities are then

$$p(Y) dY = \gamma_n(nY/\Sigma) d(nY/\Sigma)$$
 (2.1.6.5)

for the acentric case, and

$$p(Y) dY = \gamma_{n/2} [nY/(2\Sigma)] d[nY/(2\Sigma)]$$
 (2.1.6.6)

for the centric. In both cases the expected value of Y is Σ and the variances are Σ^2/n and $2\Sigma^2/n$, respectively, just as would be expected.

2.1.6.2. Distribution of ratios

Ratios like

$$S_{n, m} = J_n / K_m,$$
 (2.1.6.7)

where J_n is given by equation (2.1.6.1),

$$K_m = \sum_{i=1}^m H_j, \tag{2.1.6.8}$$

and the H_j 's are the intensities of a set of reflections (which may or may not overlap with those included in J_n), are used in correlating intensities measured under different conditions. They arise in