as to how the proteins can discriminate between the charged methylated m^7G base and the unmodified guanosine base. The m^7G base is stacked between aromatic protein side chains and hydrogen bonded to acidic protein residues (Fig. 23.2.4.5). One long-held hypothesis is that IF-4E, with dual tryptophan residues, binds specifically to the positively charged form of the base through a charge-transfer complex (Ueda, Iyo, Doi, Inoue & Ishida, 1991). The formation of a charge-transfer complex is evident in small-molecule studies and spectroscopic studies with IF-4E (Ueda, Iyo, Doi, Inoue, Ishida *et al.*, 1991). However, VP39 performs the same discrimination with the much less electronegative phenylalanine and tyrosine side chains (Hodel *et al.*, 1997). So far, no charge-transfer complex has been observed in VP39.

The recognition of charged methylated bases is important not only in mRNA processing, but also in the repair and recognition of DNA damaged by alkylating carcinogens. The mechanism by which the charged m^7G base is recognized is probably similar to how other positively charged bases, such as 3-methyladenosine, O2-methylcytosine and O2-methylthymidine, are recognized. In fact, the *E. coli* DNA repair enzyme, AlkA, will catalyse the glycolysis of all of these bases (Lindahl, 1982). The structure of AlkA is known, but only in the absence of a substrate (Labahn *et al.*, 1996). In this structure, a number of solvent-exposed tryptophan residues are found at the putative active site. This observation suggests that AlkA may recognize positively charged bases through an aromatic 'sandwich', much like that found in IF-4E and VP39.

23.2.5. Phosphate and sulfate

Novel features of molecular recognition and electrostatic interactions of these two tetrahedral oxyanions have emerged from our crystallographic and functional studies of the phosphate-binding protein (PBP) and sulfate-binding protein (SBP), which serve as extremely specific initial receptors for ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-type active transport or permease in bacterial cells. The complexes of these proteins have K_d values in the low μM range. Although phosphate and sulfate are structurally similar, at physiological pH PBP and SBP exhibit no overlap in specificity (Medveczky & Rosenberg, 1971; Pardee, 1966; Jacobson & Quiocho, 1988). This stringent specificity prevents one tetrahedral oxyanion nutrient from becoming an inhibitor of transport for the other. The specificity of the PBP-dependent phosphate transport system is also shared by other phosphate transport systems in eukaryotic cells and across brush borders and into mitochondria.

As described below, discrimination between anions is based solely on the protonation state of the ligand. Sulfate, a conjugate base of a strong acid, is completely ionized at pH values above 3, whereas phosphate, a conjugate base of a weak acid, remains protonated up to pH 13.

The structure of the PBP–phosphate complex was initially determined at 1.7 Å resolution (Luecke & Quiocho, 1990). The resolution has been pushed to an ultra high resolution of 0.98 Å, the first reported for a protein with a molecular weight as high as 34 kDa with a bound ligand (Wang *et al.*, 1997). The bound phosphate is completely desolvated and sequestered in the protein cleft between two domains. It makes 12 hydrogen bonds with the proteins (11 with donor groups and one with an acceptor group), as well as one salt link to an Arg that is in turn salt-linked to an Asp residue (Fig. 23.2.5.1). The distances of the 12 hydrogen bonds between phosphate and PBP obtained from the ultra high resolution structure range from 2.432 to 2.906 Å (Wang *et al.*, 1997). The Asp56 carboxylate, the lone acceptor group, plays two key roles in conferring the exquisite specificity of PBP. It recognizes, by way of the hydrogen bond, a proton on the phosphate and presumably disallows, by charge repulsion, the binding of a fully ionized sulfate dianion (Luecke & Quiocho, 1990).



Fig. 23.2.5.1. 12 hydrogen-bonding interactions between the phosphate-binding protein (PBP) and phosphate. (*a*) Displacement ellipsoids of the atoms involved in the interactions from the 0.98 Å atomic structure (Wang *et al.*, 1997). (*b*) Schematic diagram of the interactions, including additional hydrogen bonds.

The SBP binding-site cleft is also tailor-made for sulfate (Pflugrath & Quiocho, 1985). In keeping with the stringent specificity of SBP for fully ionized tetrahedral oxyanions (Pardee, 1966; Jacobson & Quiocho, 1988), the bound sulfate, which is also completely dehydrated and buried, is held in place by seven hydrogen bonds made entirely with donor groups from uncharged polar residues of the protein (Fig. 23.2.5.2) (Pflugrath & Quiocho, 1985). The absence of a hydrogen-bond acceptor group accounts for the inability of SBP to bind phosphate. Interestingly, the absence of a salt link and the formation of five fewer hydrogen bonds with the

references