

## 2. CONCEPTS AND SPECIFICATIONS

## 2.2.7.3.1. CIF grammar

(58) A CIF may be an empty file, or it may contain only comments or white space, or it may contain one or more data blocks. Comments before the first block are acceptable, and there must be white space between blocks.

```
<CIF> ::= <Comments>? <WhiteSpace>?
    { <DataBlock>
        { <WhiteSpace> <DataBlock> }*
        { <WhiteSpace> }?
    }?
```

(59) For a data block, there must be a data heading and zero or more data items or save frames.

```
<DataBlock> ::= <DataBlockHeading>
    { <WhiteSpace>
        { <DataItems> | <SaveFrame> }
    }*
```

(60) A data-block heading consists of the five characters `data_` (case-insensitive) immediately followed by at least one non-blank character selected from the set of ordinary characters or the non-quote-mark, non-blank printable characters.

```
<DataBlockHeading> ::= <DATA_> { <NonBlankChar> }+
```

(61) For a save frame, there must be a save-frame heading, some data items and then the reserved word `save_`.

```
<SaveFrame> ::= <SaveFrameHeading>
    {<WhiteSpace> <DataItems>}+
    <WhiteSpace> <SAVE_>
```

(62) A save-frame heading consists of the five characters `save_` (case-insensitive) immediately followed by at least one non-blank character selected from the set of ordinary characters or the non-quote-mark, non-blank printable characters.

```
<SaveFrameHeading> ::= <SAVE_> { <NonBlankChar> }+
```

(63) Data come in two forms:

(i) A data-name tag separated from its associated value by a `<WhiteSpace>`.

(ii) Looped data. The number of values in the body must be a multiple of the number of tags in the header.

```
<DataItems> ::= <Tag> <WhiteSpace> <Value> |
    <LoopHeader> <LoopBody>
<LoopHeader> ::= <LOOP_> { <WhiteSpace> <Tag> }+
<LoopBody>   ::= <Value> { <WhiteSpace> <Value> }*
```

## 2.2.7.4. Common semantic features

## 2.2.7.4.1. Introduction

(1) The Crystallographic Information File (CIF) standard is an extensible mechanism for the archival and interchange of information in crystallography and related structural sciences. Ultimately CIF seeks to establish an ontology for machine-readable crystallographic information – that is, a collection of statements providing the relations between concepts and the logical rules for reasoning about them.

Essential components in the development of such an ontology are:

(a) the basic rules of grammar and syntax, described in Sections 2.2.7.1 to 2.2.7.3;

(b) a vocabulary of the tags or data names specifying particular objects;

(c) a taxonomy, or classification scheme relating the specified objects;

(d) descriptions of the attributes and relationships of individual and related objects.

In the CIF framework, the objects of discourse are described in so-called data dictionary files that provide the vocabulary and taxonomic elements. The dictionaries also contain information about the relationships and attributes of data items, and thus encapsulate most of the semantic content that is accessible to software. In practice, different dictionaries exist to service different domains of crystallography and a CIF that conforms to a specific dictionary must be interpreted in terms of the semantic information conveyed in that dictionary.

However, some common semantic features apply across all CIF applications, and the current document outlines the foundations upon which other dictionaries may build more elaborate taxonomies or informational models.

## 2.2.7.4.2. Definition of terms

(2) The definitions of Section 2.2.7.1.2 also hold for this part of the specification.

## 2.2.7.4.3. Semantics of data items

(3) While the STAR File syntax allows the identification and extraction of tags and associated values, the interpretation of the data thus extracted is application-dependent. In CIF applications, formal catalogues of standard data names and their associated attributes are maintained as external reference files called data dictionaries. These dictionary files share the same structure and syntax rules as data CIFs.

(4) At the current revision, two conventions (known as dictionary definition languages or DDLs) are supported for detailing the meaning and associated attributes of data names. These are known as DDL1 (Hall & Cook, 1995) and DDL2 (Westbrook & Hall, 1995), and they differ in the amount of detail they carry about data types, the relationships between specific data items and the large-scale classification of data items.

(5) While it may be formally possible to define the semantics of the data items in a given data file in both DDL1 and DDL2 data dictionaries, in practice different dictionaries are constructed to define the data names appropriate for particular crystallographic applications, and each such dictionary is written in DDL1 or DDL2 formalism according to which appears better able to describe the data model employed. There is thus in practice a bifurcation of CIF into two dialects according to the DDL used in composing the relevant dictionary file. However, the use of aliases may permit applications tuned to one dialect to import data constructed according to the other.

## 2.2.7.4.4. Data-name semantics

(6) Strictly, data names should be considered as void of semantic content – they are tags for locating associated values, and all information concerning the meaning of that value should be sought in an associated dictionary.

(7) However, it is customary to construct data names as a sequence of components elaborating the classification of the item within the logical structure of its associated dictionary. Hence a data name such as `_atom_site_fract_x` displays a hierarchical arrangement of components corresponding to membership of nested groupings of data elements. The choice of components readily indicates to a human reader that this data item refers to the fractional *x* coordinate of an atomic site within a crystal unit